WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	U	Inited States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION
		Jose Angel Polanco	Case Number: <u>CR-11-00126-016-PHX-JAT</u>
		e with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3 <sup>r</sup> facts are established:	43(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I conclude that
	the de	efendant is a danger to the communi	ty and require the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.
×	the de	efendant is a serious flight risk and re	equire the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.
		F	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT
	(1)	- ' ' ' ' ' '	efendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that e if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as de	ined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the r	naximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a ma 801 et seq., 951 et seq.,	eximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. $\S$ or 46 U.S.C. $\S$ 70501 et seq.
		a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. §	ed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
			minor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or destructive e defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or involves a failure 0. § 2250.
	(2)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The orelease pending trial for a federal	ffense described in Finding No. (1) was committed while the defendant was on state or local offense.
	(3)	(3) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in Finding No. (1).	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) estawill reasonably assure the safety not rebutted this presumption.	blish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has
			Alternative Findings
	(1)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is	probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum terr seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U	n of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et .S.C. §§ 70501 et seq.
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	956(a), or 2332b.
		under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581 prescribed.	1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is
		an offense involving a min 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1),	nor victim under section 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the of conditions will reasonably assu community.	ne presumption established by Finding No. (1) that no condition or combination re the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the

## Case 2:11-cr-00126-JAT Document 1208 Filed 03/31/14 Page 2 of 3

## **Alternative Findings**

	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
×	(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.			
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION			
	(1)	I find the defendant has not met his/her burden and poses a risk of danger to the community for the following reasons:			
$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find the defendant has not met his burden and poses a risk of flight for the following reasons:			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his future appearance.			
	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
×	In add	dition:			
	The c	defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release.			

### Case 2:11-cr-00126-JAT Document 1208 Filed 03/31/14 Page 3 of 3

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to U.S. Probation at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify U.S. Probation sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow U.S. Probation an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>March 31, 2014</u>

Honorable Steven P. Logan United States Magistrate Judge